DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS DIVISION OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION 31 E. Channel Street, Room 344 Stockton, CA 95202-2314



October 7, 2014

Ms. Tiffany Anderson 2 North Avena Avenue Lodi, California 95242

RE: Anderson v. San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District ADJ7004221, et al.

## Dear Ms. Anderson:

I received and reviewed your email sent to Ms. Skolnik and Ms. Myers. Needless to say, I am very disappointed that you chose to not appear at the agreed-upon informal conference this morning.

You asked that Ms. Myers inquire about my "ruling" at the last conference in which you believe I removed Dr. Allems as the PQME in this case before you appear again. To be clear, I did not make any ruling at all because I did not have any evidence before me and we were not on the record; my notes on the 08/27/14 MOH clearly reflect this. I did say that if your allegations regarding bias proved true, I would likely order a replacement to Dr. Allems. That is something entirely different from what you claimed I said in your email.

Regardless of what I did or did not say or "rule" on, you cannot make demands that I "confirm" your recollection as a condition of you appearing again. That is unacceptable, especially considering the time that Ms. Skolnik and Ms. Langenes took to prepare for today's conference.

Therefore, to insure that no further time is wasted by anyone, I am setting your case for an MSC on my own motion regarding the issue of your request to replace Dr. Allems as the PQME. You will receive notice of the hearing date and time by separate mailing. You are required to appear. Should you have any questions about this letter, please contact Ms. Langenes.

Sincerely,

W. Kearse McGill

Presiding Workers' Compensation Judge

Stockton District Office

Cc: Ms. Sara Skolnik, Esq.

## Langenes, Sheree@DIR

From:

Tiffany Anderson < tiffanyanderson@me.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 07, 2014 8:34 AM

To:

sara Skolnik

Cc: Subject: Myers, Pam@DIR; pmeyers@dir.ca.gov pmeyers@dir.ca.gov; Langenes, Sheree@DIR

Tuesday October 7, 2014

Sara-

Thank you for the evidence you handed over at the meeting with Sheree on Friday.

I was positive that judge Mc Gill had ruled Allems to be thrown out at our prior hearing. It is my belief that many ethics have been violated by your firm while representing my employer.

I would like to know what judge Mc Gill did rule on at our last hearing before I appear and ask him to make any more rulings.

I am asking that the minutes from the last hearing be provided as well as a confirmation to your opinion before I return.

I am in the process of seeking out counsel at this time and refuse to make any decisions out of duress. With this being said I will not be in attendance this morning.

Please provide for me and the judge a copies of all materials used for both presentations held by Allems/Helphrey. To include all pre advertising for event, power point presentations from both Helphrey & Allems, along with who was in attendance and all other documentation that goes along with a presentation of that nature. I think this information is relevant if this ruling has not been thrown out already.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Anderson

## PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, Cathy Weibel, certify that the following is true and correct:

I am employed in the city of Stockton, and county of San Joaquin, California. I am over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to the within entitled cause.

My business address is 31 E. Channel Street #344, Stockton, California 95202. On 10/9/14, I served the Response to applicant's correspondence and order to appear at next MSC dated 11/25/2014 for cases ADJ7004221, ADJ7004227, ADJ9066508, ADJ7010682 & ADJ7976768 by causing true copies thereof, enclosed in sealed envelopes with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States Post Office mail box at Stockton, California, addressed to the following parties:

Tiffany Anderson 2 North Avena Avenue Lodi, CA 95242

Sara Skolnik, Esq. STOCKWELL HARRIS 1545 River Park Dr., Ste. 330 Sacramento, CA 95815

I am readily familiar with the business practice at my place of business for collection and processing of correspondence for delivery by mail. Correspondence so collected and processed is deposited with the United States Postal Service on the same day in the ordinary course of business. On the above date, the said envelopes were collected for the United States Postal Service following ordinary business practices.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on 10/9/2014 at Stockton, California.

Cathy Weibel