

7/2/99

## **BLANNING & BAKER**

The district was grossly negligent in spending \$10,000 of the taxpayers money on a biased & inaccurate report. Management did not solicit any feedback or input from the mosquito technicians. Since 90% of the work and responsibility to prevent disease and control pest mosquitos is handled by field technicians and supervisors, one would readily assume their knowledge and expertise would be invaluable in selecting a consulting firm. The district's choice was company friendly, somewhat like company compensation doctors. Could this possibly be because the manager's salary is 2 1/2 times the real base pay of an experienced field technician? This salary does not include the thousands of dollars spent on company perks each year. An accurate cost comparison would easily show taxpayers that dollar per dollar the field technician's position deserves a fair wage and benefit package and is a position that holds importance and value. Little could be said for the wasteful and exorbitant wages spent on management.

## **BENEFIT COMPARISON – BLANNING & BAKER**

Where in our benefit package is the district overly generous?

## **SALARY COMPARISON – BLANNING & BAKER**

What is the salary of the Assistant Public Health Biologist?

## **WEED CONTROL TECH I**

Why doesn't this position rotate like all other tech I positions?

What makes this position unique?