Performance Appraisal

Di	=/	CE	00	INT

CURRENT AS OF 9/97

Employee Name IVERSON MAR	'Y_	Title M.C.T. I
Department STKN. Region	<u> </u>	Employee Payroll #
Reason for Review	mance	□ Merit □ End of Introductory Period □ Peer □ Other Same - ANUAL
eriod covered by review 7 /1 /98 to 12 /3/ /98		Date of last appraisal 8 / 10 / 98 Scheduled appraisal date/
nstructions: Carefully evaluate employee's work performance in relation to the esse mployee's performance. Indicate N/A if not applicable. The points for each performan	ntial func nce descr	tions of the job. Check the rating box under the appropriate performance description to indicate the ription checked will be totaled and averaged for an overall performance score.
Areas to be Evaluated	N/A	Unsatisfactory (1) Improvement Needed Good Good Good (2) (3) (4) Outstanding (5)
. Quality - The extent to which an employee's work is accurate, thorough and neat.		Comments: Improverno AS Experience of MNOWledge Increases
 Productivity – The extent to which an employee produces a significant volume of work efficiently in a specified period of time. 		Comments: High Volume of WORK- CONTINUE TO STRIVE FOR EFFCIENCY
 Job Knowledge – The extent to which an employee possesses the practical/technical knowledge required on the job. 	X	Comments:
Reliability – The extent to which an employee can be relied upon regarding task completion and follow-up.		Comments: WORK Completed on Time with Line Follow up By Superusor
 Attendance - The extent to which an employee is punctual, observes prescribed work break/meal periods and has an acceptable overall attendance record. 	χ	Comments: 0.0 Sick Leave used 16.0 Family Sick Leave used
 Independence – The extent to which an employee performs work with little or no supervision. 		Tech NICAL SITILS OP Mosqueto CONTA
 Creativity – The extent to which an employee proposes ideas, finds new and better ways of doing things. 		comments: HAS Suggested Ideas
 Initiative – The extent to which an employee seeks out new assignments and assumes additional duties when necessary. 		Comments: AGGRESIVE Towards Hen WORK - LOUNTEERS FOR EXTRA OU
 Adherence to Policy – The extent to which an employee follows safety and conduct rules, other regulations and adheres to company policies. 		comments: Rules, Regs & Policies Follows
 Interpersonal Relationships – The extent to which an employee demonstrates the ability to cooperate, work and communicate with coworkers, supervisors, subordinates and/or outside contacts. 		Co-works well with Mrgt. of
 Judgment – The extent to which an employee demonstrates proper judgment and decision-making skills when necessary. 		Comments: IF UNSURC OF A SULVATION SH ASKS SUPERVISOR OR Follow Employee
2 STATE CENTIFICATION EXAM:		Comments: MAKE This A GOAL FOR 1999 IKI
Total Points ÷ Number of Areas Rated =	Ove	erall Rating UNSATISFACTORY (1.0-1.4) WERY GOOD (3.5-4.4) UNSATISFACTORY (1.0-1.4) UNSATISFACTORY (1.0-1.4) OUTSTANDING (4.5-5.0) GOOD (2.5-3.4)
Employee's Comments:		•
0 000	*** ***	M (1901)
(March) 11	15/9	212,99
Evaluator's Signature Date		Employee's Signature Date

Call toll free 800-999-9111 tyreorder Performance Appraisal #R8-A0814 A G. Nel Companies assumes no responsibility for the employer's use of this form or any decision the employer makes which may violate local, state or federal law. By selling this form, G. Nell Companies is not giving legal advice. Neil Companies, P.O. Box 450939, Sunrise, FL 33345-0939 - Printed in U.S.A. (9/97
The purchaser of this form is granted a imited license to photocopy the completed form
for its internal use only. Any other photocopying or reproducing in any form, whether