Performance Appraisal

PLEA	SE PRINT					
Em	ployee Name Mosterson Fre	l	Title	MCT I		
	partment	Empl	Employee Payroll #			
Rea	Reason for Review Annual Promotion Merit End of Proba			Unsatisfactory Performance Other Sami-nanual		
Ins	tructions: Carefully evaluate employee's work icate the employee's performance. Indicate N/A	performance i	n relation to ble. Assign p	7 1191 928 cheduled appraisal date/ _/ the essential functions of the job. Check rating box to oints for each rating within the scale and write that or an overall performance score.		
	THIS INDICATES TO ME THE SCANNER WAS	areas and		I – Improvement Needed – Performance is deficient in certain areas. Improvement is necessary.		
R	ESPONSIBLE FOR FRED'S	on chieved on ormance.	U – Unsatisfactory – Results are generally unacceptable and require immediate improvement. No merit increase should be granted to individuals with this rating.			
4	HOW? CONTROL. IF SO,		N/A – Not Applicable or too soon to rate.			
1		Rating	Scale	Supportive Details or Comments		
		O	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	76 OATA ENTRY		
2.	Productivity – The extent to which an employee produces a significant volume of work efficiently in a specified period of time.	O	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points Preductivity would person of Sickles Used (Sag Back		
3.	Job Knowledge – The extent to which an employee possesses the practical/technical knowledge required on the job.	O	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points // A		
4.	Reliability – The extent to which an employee can be relied upon regarding task completion and follow up.	O	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points A Relable Emp		
5.	Attendance – The extent to which an employee is punctual, observes prescribed work break/meal periods and has an acceptable overall attendance record.	0	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points Hours of Sick Le Sed Less Than LAST EVALUATION PERIO		
6.	Independence – The extent to which an employee performs work with little or no supervision.	0 V G V I I I I I I I I I	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	76 Guen Sepervis		

General Factors		Rating Scale		Supportive Details or Comments			
7.	Creativity – The extent to which an employee proposes ideas, finds new and better ways of doing things.	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	7 6	Able To give Ideas ON ZONE WORK	
8.	Initiative – The extent to which an employee seeks out new assignments and assumes additional duties when necessary.	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points 75	Needs To work in This Arm Further (Requ FOR HUD OVER RADIO)	
9.	Adherence to Policy – The extent to which an employee follows safety and conduct rules, other regulations and adheres to company policies.	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points SO	Addines To police (Improved)	
10.	Interpersonal Relationships – The extent to which an employee is willing and demonstrates the ability to cooperate, work and communicate with coworkers, supervisors, subordinates and/or outside contacts.	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points 78	Well with Super.	
11.	Judgment – The extent to which an employee demonstrates proper judgment and decision-making skills when necessary.	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points 77	in The Area of New Sources & Their Care	
	al Points 693 ÷ Number of Factors Rated 9	sitio	n dutie	o and respons Overall		 ☐ Outstanding ☐ Very Good ☑ Good ☐ Improvement Needed ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ Below 60 	
Co	omplete all of the following sections.						
	Accomplishments or new abilities demonstrated since					, .	
	Specific areas of model in specific areas of model in specific areas of model in specific areas of the specifi						
4.	Specific areas of needed improvement Product)	0	HOVA	SAU	TOOK HOURS	
3.	Recommendations for professional development (sem	ninar	s, train	ing, schooling	, etc.)	Tay To schedust Anote	
	AFTER WORK OR AS LAT						
	Absences: Number of incidents						
A	Iditional Employee Comments						
Dis	cussed with individual on//	Emp	loyee'	s Signature*		that this Performance Appraisal was discussed with me.	
Fol							
		roll) up	Date/			
Eva	aluator's Signature	_	_	Date	6 19	7	





Performance Appraisal

PLEASE PRINT

Employee Name MORTENSON F	Re	d	Title	~	1.C.T.	\mathcal{I}
Department		Employee Payroll #				
Reason for Review Annual		otion of Pro	bation Period		Unsatisfa	actory Performance Semi - ANNUAL
Instructions: Carefully evaluate employee's work per indicate the employee's performance. Indicate N/A if number in the corresponding points box. Points will be Definitions of Performance Ratings O – Outstanding – Performance is exceptional in all is recognizable as being far superior to others. V – Very Good – Results clearly exceed most position requirements. Performance is of high quality and is accompanied to the second content of	formation appearance total	ance in opplicated and and	n relation to to ble. Assign p d averaged for I – Imprareas. In U – Uns	rovement atisfactor	al functions of ach rating with all performance Needed – Perf at is necessary. y – Results are	the job. Check rating box to ain the scale and write that e score. formance is deficient in certain e generally unacceptable and
a consistent basis. G – Good – Competent and dependable level of performence standards of the job.			require immediate improvement. No merit increase should be granted to individuals with this rating. N/A – Not Applicable or too soon to rate.			
General Factors	Ra	ting	Scale		Supportive	Details or Comments
 Quality – The extent to which an employee's work is accurate, thorough and neat. 	O V G I U	•	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points 76	IMF WITH SCAN	The USE OF
 Productivity – The extent to which an employee produces a significant volume of work efficiently in a specified period of time. 	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points	_	be Improved 5 Below was wo needences)
 Job Knowledge – The extent to which an employee possesses the practical/technical knowledge required on the job. 	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points	N/A	4
PUANE, THIS MEANS HE APPEARS TO BE RELIABLES ON RELIABILITY YOU NEED TO ADDRESS WHETHER HE IS OR IS NOT	O V G I U	•	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points	See Reli	MS To Be Able
- Stens" IS A lITTLE VAGUE.	O V G I U	•	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points	This po	SICK Leave Use exied Less
GIVE EXAMPLES>	O V G I U	•	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points	IAP. Times WAREA	ROVED, BUT AT EXTRA SUPERVISO Tud

Performance Appraisal

y evaluate employee's work	Date performa A if not ap	of las	bation Period	oyee Payro	Unsatisfactory Performance Other Semi-manual
oresent position/_/ y evaluate employee's works performance. Indicate N//	Date	of las			
	ill be tota	pplicab	n relation to to	the essenti	all functions of the job. Check rating box to ach rating within the scale and write that all performance score.
	areas	and			Needed – Performance is deficient in certain
THIS INDICATES TO ME THE SCANNER WAS			U – Unsatisfactory – Results are generally unacceptable and require immediate improvement. No merit increase should be granted to individuals with this rating.		
RESPONSIBLE FOR FREDS	,		N/A - Not Applicable or too soon to rate.		
	Ra	ating	Scale		Supportive Details or Comments
	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points 76	Use of Scarrer
y in a specified period	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points 76	Preductivity would crosse IF Forther Reduceing of Sickle Used (Sie Back
esses the practical/technica	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points	N/A
relied upon regarding	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points 79	A Relable Emp
tual, observes prescribed periods and has an	O V G I U		100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points	Hours of Sick housed Less Than LAST ENGLIATION PER
The extent to which an	O V		100-90 89-80	Points	Recular Superv
	y in a specified period The extent to which sesses the practical/technical and follow up. extent to which an relied upon regarding and follow up. e extent to which an tual, observes prescribed periods and has an I attendance record. The extent to which an	where was man and to tal, observes prescribed periods and has an a lattendance record. I hieve hieve hieve hieve hieve hieves hieve	The extent to which an relied upon regarding and follow up. The extent to which an tual, observes prescribed periods and has an lattendance record. Rating O V O V O O O V O O O O O	areas. In thieved on the hieved on the properties of the propertie	areas, improvement of hieved on hieved on hieved on require immediate granted to individue N/A – Not Applied N/A – Not A

General	Factors	Rating	Scale		Supportive Details or Comments
emp	ativity – The extent to which an sloyee proposes ideas, finds new better ways of doing things.	0	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points 7 6	Able To give Ideas ON ZONE WORK
seek	iative – The extent to which an employee as out new assignments and assumes itional duties when necessary.	O	100-90 89-80 79-70 69-60 Below 60	Points 75	Needs To work in This Arm Further (Requi FOR HUP Over RADIO)
emp	playee follows reference to Policy – The extent to which an playee follows and adl You may want This AS ASSIS:	TING I	~	Points 30	AdHeres To police (Improved)
1 Bo	THE POBLIC EDUCATION THE	TON TR	LA	Points 78	Well with Super.
5,	Public & Estlow	Emple	my 665?	Points	In The AREA OF NEW Sources & Their Care
	9	_ 7	7 Overall	pilities.	 ☐ Outstanding ☐ Very Good ☑ Good ☐ Improvement Needed ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ Below 60
Compl	lete all of the tononing				
2. Spec 2. Spec 3. Reco	iffic areas of needed improvement Productions areas of needed improvement Productions for professional development (semi-creative areas of the professional development).	O CAT TIVITY Out ninars, training	Hova Hova ARIN- ng, schooling Oay A	S AW S AW S, etc.)	Tay To schedoot Aposts
4. Abs	ences: Number of incidents		5	(CK201	Number of days Hes 32.7
Additi	onal Employee Comments				
Discusse	ed with individual on//	Employee's	Signature"	*I acknowledge	that this Performance Appraisal was discussed with me.
	up requested/desired Yes No		Date	/ /	_

